MINARES: An Iterative Solver for Symmetric Linear Systems

Alexis Montoison, Dominique Orban, Michael Saunders

Abstract

1 MinAres

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a large symmetric matrix for which matrix-vector products Av can be computed efficiently for any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We present a Krylov subspace method called MINARES for computing a solution to the following problems:

Symmetric linear systems:	Ax = b,	(1)
Symmetric least-squares problems:	$\min \ Ax - b\ ,$	(2)

Sym	meu	ic leas	st-squar	es pro	biems.	•		11111.	$\ Ax - 0\ ,$			(2)
Sym	metr	ic nul	lspace p	robler	ns:			Ar :	= 0,			(3)
Sym	metr	ic eige	envalue	proble	ems:			Ar :	$=\lambda r,$			(4)
a.	1	1	1.1	c	,	1	л	Γ	B] [u]	$\left[u \right]$]	

Singular value problems for rectangular B: $\begin{bmatrix} B^T & b \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \sigma \begin{bmatrix} a \\ v \end{bmatrix}$. (5)

If A is nonsingular, problems (1)-(2) have a unique solution x^* . When A is singular, if b is not in the range of A then (1) has no solution; otherwise, (1)-(2) have an infinite number of solutions, and we seek the unique x^* that solves the problem

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A^2 x = Ab. \tag{6}$$

Let x_k be an approximation to x^* with residual $r_k = b - Ax_k$. If A were unsymmetric or rectangular, applicable solvers for (1)–(2) would be LSQR [10] and LSMR [3], which reduce $||r_k||$ and $||A^Tr_k||$ respectively within the kth Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_k(A^TA, A^Tb)$ generated by the Golub-Kahan bidiagonalization on (A, b) [4].

For (1)–(5), our algorithm MINARES solves (6) by reducing $||Ar_k||$ within the kth Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b)$ generated by the symmetric Lanczos process on (A, b) [6]. Thus when A is symmetric, MINARES minimizes the same quantity $||Ar_k||$ as LSMR, but in different (more effective) subspaces, and it requires only one matrix-vector product Av per iteration, whereas LSMR would need two.

Qualitatively, certain residual norms decrease smoothly for these iterative methods, but other norms are more erratic as they approach zero. It is ideal if stopping criteria involve the smooth quantities. For LSQR and LSMR on general (possibly rectangular) systems, $||r_k||$ decreases smoothly for both methods. We observe that while LSQR is always ahead by construction, it is never by very much. Thus on consistent systems Ax = b, LSQR may terminate slightly sooner than LSMR. On inconsistent systems $Ax \approx b$, the comparison is more striking. $||A^Tr_k||$ decreases erratically for LSQR but smoothly for LSMR, and there is usually a significance difference between the two. Thus LSMR may terminate significantly sooner [3].

Similarly for MINRES [9] and MINARES, $||r_k||$ decreases smoothly for both methods, and on consistent symmetric systems Ax = b, MINRES may have a small advantage. On inconsistent symmetric systems $Ax \approx b$, $||Ar_k||$ decreases erratically for MINRES and its variant MINRES-QLP [2] but smoothly for MINARES, and there is usually a significant difference between them. Thus MINARES may terminate sooner.

MINARES completes the family of Krylov methods based on the symmetric Lanczos process. As it minimizes $||Ar_k||$ (which always converges to zero), MINARES can be applied safely to any symmetric system.

On consistent symmetric systems, MINARES is a relevant alternative to MINRES and MINRES-QLP because it converges in a similar number of iterations if the stopping condition is based on $||r_k||$, and much sooner if the stopping condition is based on $||Ar_k||$. On singular inconsistent symmetric systems, MINARES outperforms MINRES-QLP and LSMR, and should be the preferred method. Furthermore, a lifting step [7] can be applied to move from the final iterate to the minimum-length solution (pseudoinverse) at negligible cost.

2 CAr

We introduce CAR, a new conjugate direction method similar to CG and CR (the conjugate gradient and conjugate residual methods of Hestenes and Stiefel [5, 11] for solving symmetric positive definite (SPD) systems Ax = b). Each of these methods generates a sequence of approximate solutions x_k in the Krylov subspaces $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b)$ by minimizing a quadratic function f(x):

$$f_{\rm CG}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x, \qquad f_{\rm CR}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2, \qquad f_{\rm CAR}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|A^2 x - Ab\|^2.$$

CAR is to MINARES as CR is to MINRES. For SPD A, CAR is mathematically equivalent to MINARES, and both methods exhibit monotonic decrease in $||Ar_k||$, $||r_k||$, $||x_k - x^*||$, and $||x_k - x^*||_A$. The name CAR reflects its property of generating successive A-residuals that are conjugate with respect to A. Designed to minimize $||Ar_k||$ in $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b)$, CAR complements the family of conjugate direction methods CG and CR for SPD systems.

Algorithm 1 CG	Algorithm 2 CR	Algorithm 3 CAR
Require: $A, b, \epsilon > 0$	Require: $A, b, \epsilon > 0$	Require: $A, b, \epsilon > 0$
$k = 0, x_0 = 0$	$k = 0, x_0 = 0$	$k = 0, x_0 = 0$
$r_0 = b, p_0 = r_0$	$r_0 = b, p_0 = r_0$	$r_0 = b, p_0 = r_0$
$q_0 = Ap_0$	$s_0 = Ar_0, q_0 = s_0$	$s_0 = Ar_0, q_0 = s_0$
		$t_0 = As_0, \ u_0 = t_0$
$\rho_0 = r_0^T r_0$	$\rho_0 = r_0^T s_0$	$ ho_0=s_0^Tt_0$
$\mathbf{while} \ \ r_k\ > \epsilon \ \mathbf{do}$	$\mathbf{while} \ \ r_k\ > \epsilon \ \mathbf{do}$	$\mathbf{while} \left\ r_k \right\ > \epsilon \mathbf{do}$
$lpha_k= ho_k/p_k^Tq_k$	$\alpha_k = \rho_k / \ q_k\ ^2$	$lpha_k= ho_k/\ u_k\ ^2$
$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$	$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$	$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$
$r_{k+1} = r_k - \alpha_k q_k$	$r_{k+1} = r_k - \alpha_k q_k$	$r_{k+1} = r_k - \alpha_k q_k$
	$s_{k+1} = Ar_{k+1}$	$s_{k+1} = s_k - \alpha_k u_k$
_	_	$t_{k+1} = As_{k+1}$
$\rho_{k+1} = r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1}$	$ \rho_{k+1} = r_{k+1}^T s_{k+1} $	$ ho_{k+1} = s_{k+1}^T t_{k+1}$
$\beta_k = \rho_{k+1}/\rho_k$	$\beta_k = ho_{k+1}/ ho_k$	$\beta_k = \rho_{k+1}/\rho_k$
$p_{k+1} = r_{k+1} + \beta_k p_k$	$p_{k+1} = r_{k+1} + \beta_k p_k$	$p_{k+1} = r_{k+1} + \beta_k p_k$
$q_{k+1} = Ap_{k+1}$	$q_{k+1} = s_{k+1} + \beta_k q_k$	$q_{k+1} = s_{k+1} + \beta_k q_k$
		$u_{k+1} = t_{k+1} + \beta_k u_k$
$k \leftarrow k + 1$	$k \leftarrow k + 1$	$k \leftarrow k+1$
end while	end while	end while

3 Krylov.jl

The algorithms MINARES and CAR have been implemented in Julia [1] as part of the package Krylov.jl[8], which provides a suite of Krylov and block-Krylov methods. Leveraging Julia's flexibility and multiple dispatch capabilities, our implementations are compatible with all floating-point systems supported by the language, including complex numbers. These methods are optimized for both CPU and GPU architectures, ensuring high performance across a wide range of computational platforms. Additionally, our implementations support preconditioners, enhancing convergence and robustness across various problem classes.

References

- J. Bezanson, A. Edelman, S. Karpinski, and V. B. Shah. Julia: A fresh approach to numerical computing. SIAM Rev., 59(1):65–98, 2017.
- [2] S.-C. Choi, C. C. Paige, and M. A. Saunders. MINRES-QLP: A Krylov subspace method for indefinite or singular symmetric systems. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 33(4):1810–1836, 2011.
- [3] D. C.-L. Fong and M. A. Saunders. LSMR: An iterative algorithm for sparse least-squares problems. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 33(5):2950–2971, 2011.
- [4] G. H. Golub and W. Kahan. Calculating the singular values and pseudo-inverse of a matrix. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 2(2):205–224, 1965.
- [5] M. R. Hestenes and E. Stiefel. Methods of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., 49(6):409-436, 1952.
- [6] C. Lanczos. An iteration method for the solution of the eigenvalue problem of linear differential and integral operators. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., 45:225–280, 1950.
- [7] Y. Liu, A. Milzarek, and F. Roosta. Obtaining pseudo-inverse solutions with MINRES. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17096, 2023.
- [8] A. Montoison and D. Orban. Krylov.jl: A Julia basket of hand-picked Krylov methods. Journal of Open Source Software, 8(89):5187, 2023.
- C. C. Paige and M. A. Saunders. Solution of sparse indefinite systems of linear equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 12(4):617–629, 1975.
- [10] C. C. Paige and M. A. Saunders. LSQR: An algorithm for sparse linear equations and sparse least squares. ACM Trans. Math. Software, 8(1):43–71, 1982.
- [11] E. Stiefel. Relaxationsmethoden bester strategie zur lösung linearer gleichungssysteme. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 29(1):157–179, 1955.