GMRES with Preconditioning, Weighted norm and Deflation

Nicole Spillane, Daniel B. Szyld

Abstract

We consider the general problem of solving a linear system of the form

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}; \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}.$$

The matrices **A** that we consider are non-singular, sparse and of high order n. For solving these matrices, GMRES [3, Chapter 6] is a natural choice. We address two fundamental and connected questions: How can the convergence of GMRES be predicted ? How can the convergence of GMRES be accelerated ? Our aim is to combine three ways of accelerating GMRES convergence:

- Weighting by a Hermitian positive definite (hpd) matrix **W**: all inner products and norms in the GMRES algorithm are replaced by the ones induced by **W** (see [1]),
- Preconditioning by a non-singular matrix H: GMRES is applied to the preconditioned problem AHu = b with x = Hu (see [3, Section 9.3]),
- Deflation by a projection operator $\mathbf{\Pi} := \mathbf{I} \mathbf{AZ}(\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{AZ})^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^*$ (with $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$): GMRES is applied to the projected problem $\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{AHu} = \mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{b}$ (see [7, 4]). A suitable initialization is also performed that accounts for the part of the solution that has been projected away.

We refer to \mathbf{W} , \mathbf{H} and $\mathbf{\Pi}$ as accelerators for GMRES. With words, the strategy is that the preconditioner \mathbf{H} should be a *good* approximation of \mathbf{A}^{-1} , the deflation operator should handle the space where \mathbf{H} does not *well* approximate \mathbf{A}^{-1} , and the weighted inner product should facilitate the analysis. In practice, identifying efficient accelerators requires a GMRES convergence bound where the influence of \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{W} and $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is explicit. We prove in [6, Theorem 4.1] that the convergence rate is bounded by

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_{i+1}\|_{\mathbf{W}}^2}{\|\mathbf{r}_i\|_{\mathbf{W}}^2} \leq 1 - \inf_{\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{range}(\mathbf{\Pi}) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbf{W}}|^2}{\|\mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{y}\|_{\mathbf{W}}^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\mathbf{W}}^2} \cdot$$

Further Assumptions Major simplifications occur in the case where **A** is positive definite (*i.e.*, its Hermitian part is hpd), the preconditioner **H** is hpd, and the weight equals the preconditioner $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{H}$. In this case (and with a technical assumption on the deflation operator), it holds that

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_{i+1}\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2}{\|\mathbf{r}_i\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2} \leq 1 - \inf_{\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{range}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{\Pi}) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle|}{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{y} \rangle} \times \frac{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M})}{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M})},$$

where $\mathbf{M} = 1/2(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^*)$ and $\mathbf{N} = 1/2(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^*)$ are the Hermitian and skew-Hermitian parts of \mathbf{A} , and the spectrum of $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}$ is in the interval $[\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}), \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M})]$.

Convergence without deflation Setting $\Pi = I$ (no deflation) and with an identity from [2] it is proved in [5, Theorem 4.3] that

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_{i+1}\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2}{\|\mathbf{r}_i\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \rho(\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{N})^2} \times \frac{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M})}{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M})}$$

where $\rho(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral radius of a matrix. The residuals are bounded with respect to two quantities. The first is the condition number of **HM**, a measure of whether **H** is a good preconditioner for the hpd matrix **M**. The second is the spectral radius of $\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{N}$, a measure of how non-Hermitian the problem is. The takeaway is that fast convergence is guaranteed if the problem is mildly non-Hermitian and **H** is a good preconditioner for **M**. The bound also has important consequences for parallel computing and the analysis of domain decomposition methods.

A new deflation space [6, Theorem 6.3] When the problem is significantly non-Hermitian (in terms of $\rho(\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{N})$), we propose to combine Hermitian preconditioning with spectral deflation. Under the same assumptions as above, we choose the matrices \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{Y} in the characterization of the projection operator $\mathbf{\Pi}$ as follows. First, we denote by $(\lambda_j, \mathbf{z}^{(j)}) \in i\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ (for j = 1, ..., n) the eigenpairs of the generalized eigenvalue problem $\mathbf{Nz}^{(j)} = \lambda_j \mathbf{Mz}^{(j)}$. Then, with a chosen threshold $\tau > 0$ we select for the deflation operator, the highest frequency eigenvectors, by setting

$$\operatorname{span}(\mathbf{Z}) := \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{z}^{(j)}; |\lambda_j| > \tau\} \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{HAZ}.$$

Then the convergence of weighted, preconditioned and deflated GMRES is bounded by

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_{i+1}\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2}{\|\mathbf{r}_i\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2} \le 1 - \frac{1}{(1+\tau^2)} \times \frac{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M})}{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M})}.$$

Numerical illustrations show that preconditioning the Hermitian part in a way that is scalable leads to overall scalability and that spectral deflation accelerates convergence when the problems become more strongly non-Hermitian.

References

- A. Essai. Weighted FOM and GMRES for solving nonsymmetric linear systems. Numer. Algorithms, 18(3-4):277–292, 1998.
- [2] C. R. Johnson. Inequalities for a complex matrix whose real part is positive definite. Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 212:149–154, 1975.
- [3] Y. Saad. Iterative methods for sparse linear systems. Philadelphia, PA: SIAM Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2nd ed. edition, 2003.
- [4] K. M. Soodhalter, E. de Sturler, and M. E. Kilmer. A survey of subspace recycling iterative methods. GAMM-Mitt., 43(4):29, 2020. Id/No e202000016.
- [5] N. Spillane. Hermitian preconditioning for a class of non-Hermitian linear systems. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 46(3):a1903-a1922, 2024.
- [6] N. Spillane and D. B. Szyld. New convergence analysis of GMRES with weighted norms, preconditioning, and deflation, leading to a new deflation space. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 45(4):1721–1745, 2024.
- [7] J. M. Tang, R. Nabben, C. Vuik, and Y. A. Erlangga. Comparison of two-level preconditioners derived from deflation, domain decomposition and multigrid methods. J. Sci. Comput., 39:340– 370, 2009.